	<b>1</b>		
1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION		
		VOIEMA DIAIO	
3	MAURICE PERKINS,	}	No. 07 C 841
4	Pla	<pre>intiff, )</pre>	Chicago, Illinois April 13, 2007 9:30 o'clock a.m.
5	-VS-	{	9:30 o'clock a.m.
6	••	<b>〈</b>	
7	LANDGON NEAL,	<b>(</b>	
8	Def	endant.	
9	TDANICODED	T OE DDOCEENI	NGS - MOTION
10	BEFORE THE	HONORABLE WAY	'NE R. ANDERSEN
11	APPEARANCES:		
12	For the Plaintiff:	MR. MAURIC	E PERKINS, pro se
13		Chicago, I	Michigan Avenue 11inois 60615
14	For the Defendant:	JENNER & B	IOCK IIC
15	For the berendant.	One IBM P1	
16		Chicago, I	11inois 60611 EFFREY D. COLMAN
17		MS. M	ELISSA S. WILLS
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	Court Reporter:	ROSEMARY S	
24		Room 1412	Dearborn Street
25		Chicago, 1 (312) 435	[11inois 60604 -5815
	II.		

1 THE CLERK: Case 7 C 841, Perkins versus Neal. 2 THE COURT: Hi, Mr. Perkins. 3 MS. WILLS: Good morning, your Honor. 4 MR. PERKINS: Good morning, your Honor. 5 MS. WILLS: Melissa Wills and Jeff Colman here for 6 defendant Langdon Neal. 7 THE COURT: Hi. MR. COLMAN: Good morning, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Hi, Mr. Perkins. 10 MR. PERKINS: Good morning, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: The -- last night I read all the 12 papers. Actually my law clerk Katie Cook and I were on the 13 phone at -- I think we hung up at 10:10 last night, a number 14 easy to remember, because I feel that we ought to know the 15 status of this now so that going into this election that is 16 in four days -- or three days, you know, legally where you So I am prepared to hear from Mr. Perkins. You think 17 18 you can summarize your position in five or ten minutes? 19 MR. PERKINS: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: I mean I have read everything, but if 21 there is anything you would like to add, you are welcome to 22 do that. And then if defense counsel would like to respond, 23 you are welcome to respond. 24 And what I would like to address at this point in

time really is the preliminary injunction motion. And I know

that that may relate in a number of fashions to the motion to 1 dismiss, but the urgency is brought upon by the election. 2 I feel that whether I grant or deny a preliminary injunction, 3 the Court of Appeals has jurisdiction over that if somebody 4 wants to appeal that. So why don't we just address that for 5 now, if you wouldn't mind. Okay? 6 MS. WILLS: Yes, your Honor. 7 MR. PERKINS: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: So would you like to make a 9 10 presentation? MR. PERKINS: Yes, sir, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: So if you would like to cede the 12 lectern to him and have a seat, I would -- I certainly won't 13 14 be offended. Thank you. 15 MR. PERKINS: First of all, your Honor, we -- once 16 we filed our motion, we attempted to serve Jenner & Block. 17 We went to their offices and they called a gentleman by the 18 name of Mitch, and he declined to accept our motion. 19 THE COURT: Well, here they are. 20 Right. So we did go to the Board of 21 MR. PERKINS: Election Commissioners and served Mr. Scanlon who is the 22 chief legal officer there. So, you know, I am just -- I 23 point this out because I believe this to be kind of 24 disingenuous to say that we didn't serve them when we made 25

every attempt. 1 THE COURT: That -- on that subject, as far as I am 2 concerned, all that is waived. 3 4 MR. PERKINS: Okay. THE COURT: They filed papers. 5 6 MR. PERKINS: Okay. THE COURT: They are here in court. As you know, I 7 called them on the phone yesterday -- called him on the phone 8 9 to make sure he was engaged and appeared on. MR. PERKINS: Now, in terms of our preliminary 10 injunction --11 12 THE COURT: Excuse me. They are on notice. MR. PERKINS: That is the issue at hand, our motion 13 14 for preliminary injunction. 15 THE COURT: Right. MR. PERKINS: What I say to the Court in terms of 16 our preliminary injunction, we have information here that 17 Langdon Neal has funded and given donations to over 50 of the 18 elected officials here in the City of Chicago. Dorothy 19 Tillman, being a person that has received funds from Langdon 20 Neal and a person that we no longer desire to lead our 21 community, has been given funds by the Chairman of the Board 22 of Election Commission. 23 24 May I --25 THE COURT: Sure.

MR. PERKINS: -- submit this to your Honor? 1 MR. COLMAN: We haven't seen that. 2 THE COURT: Helen. 3 MR. COLMAN: This is --4 THE COURT: Wait just a minute. Helen, could you 5 just make a copy of these and then we will each have one. If 6 you could make two, that would be great. 7 THE CLERK: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Thanks. 9 MR. PERKINS: And I also think it was disingenuous 10 for them to come and mislead the Court to the point that we 11 had filed more papers than the rule permitted because we had 12 not done that, your Honor. And in my haste yesterday I 13 actually gave you, you know, my certified copy to prove that 14 my initial copy was only 11 pages. 15 THE COURT: There is no -- you know, I read it all. 16 As far as I am concerned, they have had a full chance to 17 respond to everything. You have not filed too much with the 18 Court, so that is not an issue you need to worry about. 19 MR. PERKINS: Okay. But that is the issue that 20 brings up their motion for dismissal. 21 THE COURT: That -- which -- which I say let's not 22 23 even address that today. MR. PERKINS: 24 Okay. THE COURT: As far as I am concerned, you might not 25

-- you might not have standing to bring this lawsuit. Maybe 1 2 I don't have power to hear it. But even more urgent than 3 that determination is whether or not your desire to decapitate the Board of Elections at this point by -- by not 4 5 enjoining Mr. Neal to not function, that is the issue on the 6 table. 7 MR. PERKINS: That is the issue. 8 THE COURT: Right. So let's address that issue. 9 MR. PERKINS: And -- okay, okay. THE COURT: But procedurally I am not --10 11 MR. PERKINS: Okay. 12 THE COURT: The plus of having experienced 13 attorneys from your point of view, the defendant having 14 experienced attorneys, is they are -- they are not going to be able to complain about any procedural problems. 15 16 MR. PERKINS: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: They are -- they are people who track 18 very fast. They have had a lot of experience in these areas. 19 As far as I am concerned, everything that you have filed, I have read as well, as everything they have filed. And we are 20 21 here so that I can determine whether or not I should issue a preliminary injunction. 22 23 If you could give one to defense and one to Katie, 24 that would be great.

MR. PERKINS: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Don't worry about any of the procedural things. Here we are.

MR. PERKINS: I won't worry about that, but, you know, as I stood in your courtroom yesterday, you know, I see the Shakman case being heard while we were being -- waiting.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. PERKINS: What we are seeing is this, your Honor: Mr. Langdon Neal in his position ethically and legally should not be donating to candidates that are going to be running for office in races that he is overseeing.

THE COURT: What -- now, what evidence -- what law do you have that says he can't do it? I mean ethically I think you have a point. All right? And certainly that is something the circuit judges ought to consider when they appoint people to the Board of Election Commissioners.

MR. PERKINS: Right.

THE COURT: But legally speaking the research that I have made in the last 22 hours does not unveil any statutory disability that those contributions would make. It might be a good idea, but I am not -- I don't -- you haven't given me any authority which says he can't do that.

MR. PERKINS: All I say to you is it gives the signs of a criminal conspiracy when one in such a sensitive governmental position can be given over \$10 million in no bid contracts. Is the public to think that that serves our

interests, that one would be given \$10 million in no bid contracts to serve over our elections, and then those very election he donates to other candidates that he is overseeing the race?

I marched downtown with County Commissioner Tony
Peraica when we saw that things were going awry down here. I
was up there when Todd Stoger's legal defense team had
Sequoia who is getting \$26 million as one of their
representatives. I saw how they handle those ballots coming
in all times of night. The Governor's race came in the same
night. It took four days for Cook County Commissioner Tony
Periaca to have that race taken.

And we have put an integrated group of people together that were of the opinion that Commissioner Peraica would not wholesale layoff African Americans, do the things that we have seen. And by virtue of that election and other elections people are being harmed.

I am of the impression that based on the corruption and things that go on in City Hall that the African American community has lost a billion dollars a year for the last decade in terms of Hired Truck, in terms of minority fraud contracting. All of this power stems from elections which Chairman Langdon Neal officiates. You go to the five poorest black wards on the south side and west side, you can see the scourge of not having the resources and funds to deal with

our young people. All this stems from the electoral process, you know, and we need him to be stopped from doing this.

Now, I have one more document I would like to pass out, and this really culminates the reason that we are in this Court seeking justice, because we are the victims. We are not approaching him or victimizing him. He and his family for the last three generations have been the overseers of African Americans like myself.

THE COURT: "He" meaning Neal?

MR. PERKINS: I mean Neal -- Earl Neal, Judge Neal. He was a judge when most blacks weren't graduating from high school. So they have basically been the overseers of this plantation politics. And it is crucial that we stop him.

And he is donating to candidates also. He could not serve on the State Board of Election Commissioners being a registered lobbyist. He can come into our community and tell me that the City has to have my property and eminent domain and just take what I have in life. And I think that he needs to be stopped. I think we have shown enough -- just the mere fact that he is donating to candidates in races he is calling, Judge.

THE COURT: Have you -- to what extent have you called any of these arguments to the attention of Judge Evans and his colleagues who actually are responsible for the appointment?

MR. PERKINS: Well, it is all the old regular Democratic Party. That is why I am in federal court. That is not the system by which our grievances and law can be abated. They are all one big, happy family. You know that as well as I do. And we are going nowhere with them because it is not justice, Judge. It is just not over there. And that is why we appeal to the Courts of the United States for justice, because when you said I had an uphill battle yesterday, you know, well, I am a black man and I certainly understand what uphill is. But Langdon Neal, he is hurting us. You can stop him from hurting us. There has been enough snafus in the last two or three elections as evidence that something needs to happen.

Sequoia, \$26 million. The County Board is saying they don't want to pay this. This is wrong. Langdon Neal is saying, pay them, pay them. You know, I mean this is not right. You know, we can't free ourselves from this tyranny if you won't restore the integrity to the vote. And he needs to be moved.

And I just got these last -- two for them and one for you. And that is my presentation.

THE COURT: And then let's hear what defense counsel has to say. Thank you.

Okay. So whose button are you wearing?

MR. PERKINS: This is Miss Pat Dowell, a very nice,

1	humane, dignified lady. We are trying to get Dorothy Tillman		
2	to go. She is racial, divisive, obnoxious. You know, we		
3	need a chance out there. And Langdon Neal is donating to		
4	Dorothy Tillman, and that is not right.		
5	THE COURT: Thank you very much. You have a you		
6	have a point I would say. All right.		
7	Would you state your name for the record.		
8	MS. WILLS: Yes, your Honor. I am Melissa Wills.		
9	THE COURT: Hi, Miss Wills. Thanks for coming on		
10	such short notice.		
11	MS. WILLS: You are welcome.		
12	THE COURT: Thanks for bringing Mr. Colman.		
13	MS. WILLS: Your Honor, respectfully, we stand		
14	THE COURT: Mr. Perkins, rather, if you would like		
15	to sit up closer where you can hear what she has to say, you		
16	are welcome to.		
17	Is that your purse?		
18	MS. WILLS: Yes, that is.		
19	THE COURT: As long as you switched tables, you		
20	might want to move that over.		
21	MS. WILLS: Sure.		
22	THE COURT: Thanks.		
23	MS. WILLS: Your Honor, as I said, we respectfully		
24	stand on our motions that we have filed.		
25	Quickly to respond to the additional information		

that we just received from Mr. Perkins regarding the -- we don't know who Alfred Neal is or Delores Neal who appear on the sheet that he gave us. And Mr. Earl Neal was Lang's father and he actually passed away about 18 months ago. And the only two contributions that come from Mr. Lang Neal himself were from 1994 and 1995. And Mr. Neal was not appointed to the Commissioner position until 2006.

And so basically, as I said, we stand on our motions. We do not believe that this plaintiff has standing of our case or controversy to bring his claim and, therefore, we do not believe there is any claim he can state for relief upon it. So there is no reasonably likelihood of success on the merits. And without that threshold or prerequisite, a preliminary injunction is not appropriate in this case.

THE COURT: The -- with respect to the -- what Mr. Perkins cites, as you know, conflicts of interest, contributions to campaigns when you are overseeing the election machinery and so on, what is your thought on that?

MS. WILLS: As we said, your Honor, the contributions that he gave were in 1994 and 1995 and that is not when he was in a position as Commissioner Chairman. And so as a Commissioner there is no allegations or facts showing that there is a contribution to --

THE COURT: Do you know who the other commissioners are now?

MS. WILLS: Your Honor, I am not aware of the other two names of the commissioners.

THE COURT: Do you know, Mr. Perkins? We have three commissioners, right?

MR. PERKINS: I believe there is a Mr. Cowen and -- I am not certain, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So -- so your position is -- at least as far as the preliminary injunction is concerned, your position is that Mr. Perkins has not provided any evidence of something that would create a legal disability. I gather that you as I, would, if we were counseling the circuit judges, might well say to them, look, if you accept this sort of appointment, you are going to have to create some distance between yourself and those whose elections you are overseeing because you have got to be -- you should be -- there should be some degree of impartiality in this.

Do you agree with that?

MS. WILLS: Your Honor, I agree that there should be some degree of impartiality. And we -- I just don't believe that there are any facts alleging that Mr. Neal has any unconstitutional conflict of interest that would disqualify him from the position of Chairman of the election commissioners.

THE COURT: Okay. Here is what I am going to do:

\_

Thank you.

MS. WILLS: Thank you.

THE COURT: I know this is very brief. And I read everything last night. And actually before reading it last night I reflected on what Mr. Perkins had to say. And as you may know, Mr. Perkins, I have been -- I have squandered much of my life involved in elections. And as you know, there is -- one of the frustrations is is there is no consolation prize for the loser.

Another frustration, having grown up in this County, is that I see the Illinois election law scheme, which, for example, creates electoral boards to -- as you know, to oversee before the Court gets various challenges to petitions and so on. Electoral boards are extremely interested. You know, usually they are the chief elected officials who are the buddies or the enemies of the people who are running in their towns or whatever.

So insofar -- and I, like everybody who is overinterested in election returns, felt a unique frustration during the last couple elections --

MR. PERKINS: Yes.

THE COURT: -- as returns were not properly counted. I don't think we can mix up City and the County because it is two separate election administrations. But I -- you know, I feel a lot of empathy with the criticisms that

you have of that. So I just want you to know --

MR. PERKINS: Yes.

7 8 9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: -- that whatever I decide to do with respect to this preliminary injunction and whatever happens with respect to the case, I haven't been in court before I was a judge arguing the sorts of things you are arguing now, but I have many times before I became a judge and took an oath to be nonpartisanly political been in a position where I was frustrated with a number of the things that you are frustrated with.

Is there anything you want to add?

MR. PERKINS: Yes, sir, your Honor. Your Honor, just it is just simply not frustration. It is the fact that -- you know, even in the Plotkin case that they -- they misapplied Plotkin. Plotkin was after George Ryan's election. See, that is a misapplication of Plotkin because we have a certain urgency here. And you have seen over and over and over what is happening at that Election Board. Ι mean you have an opportunity now to protect the public interest and do something about what is going on. It is just not my frustrations like an old woman may have.

THE COURT: Well, let's -- we will strike that.

MR. PERKINS: It is that -- it is that our -- it is that our community is being robbed. And Langdon Neal is a part of that. Hired Trucks, 100 million. Duff. 100

million. Empowerment Zone, 100 million. Nobody is talking about restoring to the inner cities these monies that have been stolen through the corruption process at City Hall. See, we are bleeding out there. And that is why I am here making an emotional plea that you stop this because you see what is going on.

THE COURT: Okay. Here is what I am going to do: I will rule -- I will issue a ruling at 10:30. If you want to come back, you are welcome to. If you want me to e-mail a ruling to you, you are welcome to do that. I want to reflect on what you have said, talk with Katie about what we talked about last night so that you can get a ruling as -- as expeditiously as possible.

If either side or both sides feel they need a -would like to get a transcript of this, when I walk out of
the room, you could talk to Rosemary about how to get a
transcript.

My plan is to -- I have two more -- the two matters this morning. I have a daughter who was brought into an emergency labor situation last night, so I intend to go hold her hand. Her husband is on another continent now. And everyone else in the family is dropping over with fatigue. So I will be out of the building. But my intention is before I leave to sign the order. And I am hoping to leave at about 10:30.

So I am going to -- I am going to talk to Katie for 1 2 a few minutes now about some things that we talked about 3 writing up and -- and review of these particular documents. 4 I am not going to dismiss the lawsuit. I am not 5 addressing that issue now. So no matter what I do, we will 6 set a status time for the lawsuit and then determine whether 7 or not the plaintiff has -- you know, meets all the 8 requirements to carry on with the lawsuit and, if so, then 9 maybe the grievances that he has cited can be addressed at 10 that point in time. 11 But right now I am focused on the request that 12 Mr. Neal be ordered not to participate in the administration 13 of Tuesday's election, so I will -- you will get a ruling in 14 an hour. 15 Mr. Colman? 16 MR. COLMAN: Could I just say three things very 17 briefly? 18 THE COURT: Sure. 19 First I hope very much that your MR. COLMAN: daughter is going to be okay. That is the most important. 20 THE COURT: Well, I think she will. 21 22 Aren't you glad you are not a girl? 23 MR. COLMAN: We won't go there. I take the Fifth. 24 THE COURT: Well, by that I meant at child birth 25 time.

1
 2
 3

MR. COLMAN: Second, I just didn't want the record to be silent. I have known Langdon Neal for 25 years, first meeting him and his dad as my opposing counsel when I was representing Danny Davis, Dick Newhouse, Carol Moseley-Braun, Jesse Jackson in the ward rerdistricting case of 1981.

THE COURT: In which case?

MR. COLMAN: The ward redistricting case of 1981.

Lang Neal is a wonderful, honorable man, and I want that stated for the record. His father was a hero to me.

Thirdly, your Honor, just administratively, I think just in the automatic course of things there was a referral for discovery purposes first to Judge Schenkier who recused himself. Now it is before Judge Valdez. Could you, as part of what you do today, stay that referral so that we don't have to be in two different courtrooms?

THE COURT: Well, yes. Here is what we are going to do, regardless of what I do on this preliminary injunction: Let's set a status for -- how about May 3rd? By then whatever happens in the election will be in and we could determine what issues ought to be addressed at that point in time. So I am going to -- I am going to take -- I am sorry to be as abrupt as I have, but, obviously, I was not aware of the other things that were going to happen until 6:30 this morning when I got a call from the hospital. But, fortunately, my reading on this case, other than what was

1	handed in this morning, was completed before I went to bed			
2	last night.			
3	And of the names he listed, I would say an unsung			
4	hero of the whole field is Newhouse.			
5	MR. PERKINS: Richard Newhouse, he was one of the			
6	first, your Honor.			
7	THE COURT: Exactly. So I will be right back on			
8	the Soo Line case. All right.			
9	MR. PERKINS: Yes, sir.			
10	(Which were all the proceedings heard.)			
11	CERTIFICATE			
12	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript			
13	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.			
14				
15	Rosemary Scarpelli Date			
16	Official Court Reporter			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
$\sim$ –	B Company of the Comp			